NSA and NAVY	review(s)	completed.
--------------	-----------	------------

25X1

DRAFT		
	Copy	of 18

30 December 1957

JUSTIFICATION FOR ADDING KAMCHATKA PENINSULA (INCLUDING PETROPAVLOVSK) TO HIGHEST PRIORITY LIST

- 1. The KAMCHATKA Peninsula may be used by the Soviets as an ICBM launch site or base for submarines with guided missile capability for attack against North America.
- 2. Concern that this possibility may be materializing stems from the following:
 - a. The strategic location of the peninsula, vis=a=vis the

 North American continent, in terms of proximity and free access

 to the sea, this peninsula holding a position comparable to that of

 the KOLA Peninsula in the BARENTS Sea (already covered in part

 by photography from which revealed four instances

 of construction of possible missile launch sites).
 - b. The high sensitivity with which the Russians view the KAMCHATKA area, evidence of this lying in their reactions to and put liquidue pendudon, ferret flights as opposed to their reactions to ferret flights in other peripheral areas.
 - c. The possibilities of guided missile equipped submarines at PETROPAVLOVSK, it being known that at least two with such

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/02/17: CIA-RDP61S00750A000500030004-8

2

and possibly have been converted at PETROPAVLOVSK. Furthermore, there is evidence indicating a considerable strengthening of the KAMCHATKA flotilla by the addition of long range submarines.

There is reasonable possibility that at least one of these may be nuclear powered.

			25X1

3. It should be noted that previous coverage of a portion of the KAMCHATKA Peninsula, namely, that in the vicinity of KLYUCHI, by

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/02/17 : CIA-RDP61S00750A000500030004-8

25X1

25X1

25X1

virtue of	Missions 6005 and 600	8,

leading to the

suspicion that the latter might be an impact point for guided missile testing. Exploitation to date tends to confirm this suspicion although it may be that recoverage of certain areas by larger scale photography at a later date will be rewarding on this point. Recoverage at this time, however, is not urged.

4. A thorough examination of all sources reporting, including low quality photography of PETROPAVLOVSK of 1946 and 1951, on activities in the KAMCHATKA leaves intelligence with a strong suspicion of the possible critical nature of activities on the geninsula but with an inability to assert unequivocally that these suspicions can be disregarded.

Attention is called to the fact that in submitting this addition to the highest priority list the Ad Hoc Requirements Committee has not altered its views as of 9 October 1957 with regard to the pre-eminent importance among highest targets of those which bear upon the long range bomber problem (the Navy dissent remaining as stated in that case). It and Komsomulsk is also noted that except for UKRAINA there is no competition among the assets for covering this target in the event operations in the

Far East are permitted,